

**Intermediate Macroeconomic Theory II, Winter 2011**  
**Instructor: Dmytro Hryshko**  
**Solutions to Problem set 1**

1. **(18 points)** Let the economy's production function be  $Y = 4K^{1/2}(EL)^{1/2}$ .  
Households save 20% of their income;  
population growth,  $n$ , is equal to 2%;  
the depreciation rate,  $\delta$ , is equal to 1%;  
the growth rate in the efficiency of labor,  $g$ , is 1%.
- (a) **(2 points)** Show that the aggregate production function is constant returns to scale in  $K$  and  $L$  **(1 point)**, and express the production function in *per-effective-worker* terms **(1 point)**.
- (b) **(1 point)** Is production function increasing/constant/decreasing returns to scale in 3 factors of production,  $K$ ,  $E$ , and  $L$ ? *Show* how you arrived at the conclusion.
- (c) **(3 points)** Calculate the steady state level of  
capital *per effective worker* **(1 point)**  
output *per effective worker* **(1 point)**  
and consumption *per effective worker* **(1 point)**.
- (d) **(1 point)** If you were a social planner who maximizes consumption per worker in the economy, what savings rate would you choose? (You *need not* show your calculations here if you see the answer.)
- (e) **(2 points)** Find the golden rule level of capital per effective worker **(1 point)** and the corresponding output per effective worker in the golden rule steady state **(1 point)**.
- (f) **(2 points)** Assume the government can tax proportionally capital and wage incomes at the rate  $\tau$  and is reinvesting the tax proceedings into capital. Find the tax rate  $\tau$  that will deliver the golden level of capital per effective worker you have found immediately above (continue assuming that the savings rate is 20%).
- (g) **(2 points)** Assume now instead that a donor outside of the economy is willing to make a gift of capital to the economy so that it reaches the golden rule steady-state. How much capital would the donor need to bring into the economy relative to what it has when the steady state is defined by the savings rate of 20%.
- (h) **(1 point)** What is the growth rate of total output in the steady state (on a balanced growth path, to be precise)?
- (i) **(1 point)** What is the growth rate of the real wage in the economy? What is the growth rate of the real interest rate?
- (j) **(1 point)** What is the share of capital and labor costs in total income?

- (k) (2 points) Assume the economy is on a balanced growth path. Let the production function be  $Y = BK^{1/2}L^{1/2}$ , where  $B = 4E^{1/2}$ , and  $B$  is the total factor productivity. What is the contribution of the total factor productivity towards the growth in total output? That is, calculate  $\frac{\Delta B/B}{\Delta Y/Y}$ .

**Answer:**

- (a)  $F(zK, zL) = 4(zK)^{\frac{1}{2}}(zL)^{\frac{1}{2}} = z^{\frac{1}{2}}z^{\frac{1}{2}}\underbrace{4K^{\frac{1}{2}}(EL)^{\frac{1}{2}}}_{=Y} = zY$ —raising utilization of  $K$  and  $L$  by the factor  $z$  magnifies output produced by the factor  $z$ . Define  $z = \frac{1}{EL}$ . Then,  $\frac{Y}{EL} = F\left(\frac{K}{EL}, \frac{EL}{EL}\right) = 4\left(\frac{K}{EL}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\frac{EL}{EL}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ . Thus,  $y_{pew} = 4k_{pew}^{1/2}$ , where  $y_{pew} = \frac{Y}{EL}$  and  $k_{pew} = \frac{K}{EL}$ .
- (b) Production function is increasing returns to scale in  $K$ ,  $E$  and  $L$ :  $F(zK, zEzL) = 4(zK)^{1/2}(zEzL)^{1/2} = z^{3/2}\underbrace{4K^{1/2}(EL)^{1/2}}_{=Y} > zY$ . Thus, doubling the utilization of  $K$ ,  $E$  and  $L$  more than doubles output, it magnifies output by the factor  $2^{3/2}$ .
- (c) In steady state,  $\Delta k_{pew} = s4k_{pew}^{1/2} - (n + \delta + g)k_{pew} = 0$ . It follows that  $k_{pew}^* = \left(\frac{4s}{n+g+\delta}\right)^{\frac{1}{1-1/2}} = \left(\frac{4s}{n+g+\delta}\right)^2 = \left(\frac{4 \times 0.2}{0.04}\right)^2 = 400$ .  
 $y_{pew}^* = 4\left(\frac{4 \times 0.2}{0.04}\right) = 80$ .  
 $c_{pew}^* = (1 - s)y_{pew}^* = 0.8 \times 80 = 64$ .
- (d) You should set the savings rate equal to the golden rule savings rate of 50%.
- (e) At the golden rule steady state,  $s = \alpha$ , and so  $k_{gold}^* = \left(\frac{4\alpha}{n+g+\delta}\right)^2 = \left(\frac{4 \times 0.50}{0.04}\right)^2 = 2500$ .  
 $y_{gold}^* = 4\left(\frac{4 \times 0.50}{0.04}\right) = 200$ .  
 In the golden rule steady state, capital intensity (i.e., capital per effective worker) is higher compared with the capital intensity in the steady state with  $s = 0.20$ .
- (f) From our homework, we know that the steady-state level of capital per effective worker in the economy with the tax rate  $\tau$  is obtained when  $[s(1 - \tau) + \tau]4k_{pew}^{1/2} = (n + g + \delta)k_{pew}$ . This happens when

$$k_{pew}^* = \left(\frac{4[s(1 - \tau) + \tau]}{n + g + \delta}\right)^{\frac{1}{1-\alpha}}.$$

This level of capital per effective worker will be exactly equal to 2,500 when

$$4[s(1 - \tau) + \tau] = 4 \times \alpha = 4 \times 0.5,$$

or when

$$\tau = \frac{0.5 - 0.2}{1 - 0.2} = 0.3/0.8 = 3/8 = 37.5\%.$$

- (g) Denote the time the transfer happens as time 0. When the economy's savings rate is 20% its level of capital per effective worker in the steady state,  $k_{pew}^* = \frac{K_0}{E_0 L_0}$ , is 400. In the golden rule steady-state, capital per effective worker is  $\frac{K'_0}{E_0 L_0} = 2500$ . Thus, the donor needs to transfer  $100(2500/400 - 1) = 525\%$  of the existing stock of capital.
- (h) The balanced growth rate in total output is  $n + g = 3\%$ .
- (i) The growth rate in the real wage will be equal to  $\frac{\Delta y_{pew}}{y_{pew}^*} + \frac{\Delta E}{E} = 0 + g = 0.01$ , or 1%. The real interest rate is constant: its growth rate is 0%.
- (j) The share of capital costs in total income is 1/2, and the share of labor costs in total income is 1/2.
- (k) On a balanced growth path, the growth rate of total output is equal to  $n + g = 3\%$ . The growth rate of  $B$  is  $\frac{\Delta B}{B} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\Delta E}{E} = \frac{1}{2}g$ . Thus,  $\frac{\Delta B/B}{\Delta Y/Y} = \frac{0.5 \times 0.01}{0.03} \approx 17\%$ .
2. (10 points) Consider the Permanent Income Hypothesis we studied in class. Preferences are quadratic,  $u(c_t) = -\frac{1}{2}(\bar{c} - c_t)^2$ ; planning horizon is infinite;  $\beta(1+r) = 1$ ; income stream is known as of time 0. Consider two individuals,  $X$  and  $Y$ . Individual  $X$ 's income starts at 121 at time 0, drops to 110 at time 1 and stays at 110 thereafter, while individual  $Y$ 's income starts at 110 at time 0, grows to 121 at time 1 and stays at 121 thereafter. The real interest rate,  $r$ , equals 10%.
- (a) (3 points) Write down the lifetime budget constraint, and find the present discounted value of individual  $X$ 's and  $Y$ 's incomes.
- (b) (3 points) Write down two optimality Euler conditions relating optimal consumption levels in periods 0 and 1, and optimal consumption levels in periods 1 and 2, assuming both  $X$  and  $Y$  are unconstrained in their borrowing. Find optimal consumption levels for each period ( $t = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots, \infty$ ) for both individuals assuming that they are free to save and borrow at the interest  $r$ .
- (c) (4 points) Assume that individuals are precluded from borrowing. Show how this influences the path of consumption for both individuals.

**Answer:**

- (a) The lifetime budget constraint relates the present discounted sum of consumption to the present discounted sum of incomes:

$$c_0 + \frac{c_1}{1+r} + \frac{c_2}{(1+r)^2} + \frac{c_3}{(1+r)^3} + \dots = y_0 + \frac{y_1}{1+r} + \frac{y_2}{(1+r)^2} + \frac{y_3}{(1+r)^3} + \dots$$

Individual  $X$ 's present discounted value of incomes is

$$121 + \frac{110}{1+r} + \frac{110}{(1+r)^2} + \frac{110}{(1+r)^3} + \dots = 121 + \frac{1}{1+r} \frac{110}{1 - \frac{1}{1+r}} = 1,221.$$

Individual  $Y$ 's present discounted value of incomes is

$$110 + \frac{121}{1+r} + \frac{121}{(1+r)^2} + \frac{121}{(1+r)^3} + \dots = 110 + \frac{1}{1+r} \frac{121}{1 - \frac{1}{1+r}} = 110 + \frac{121}{r} = 1,320.$$

(b) The optimality conditions are:

$$\bar{c} - c_0^* = \beta(1+r)(\bar{c} - c_1^*)$$

$$\bar{c} - c_1^* = \beta(1+r)(\bar{c} - c_2^*).$$

Since  $\beta(1+r) = 1$  by assumption, it follows that the unconstrained consumption plan for both individuals is  $c_0^* = c_1^* = c_2^* = c_3^* = \dots$ —perfect consumption smoothing.

Optimal consumption for individual  $X$  equals

$$\frac{r}{1+r} \left( 121 + \frac{110}{r} \right) = 11 + 100 = 111.$$

Optimal consumption for individual  $Y$  equals

$$\frac{r}{1+r} \left( 110 + \frac{121}{r} \right) = 10 + 110 = 120.$$

(c) Individual  $X$ 's consumption path wouldn't change. She will spread her period 0 savings of 10 across her entire lifetime, consuming the interest each period.

Individual  $Y$  will not be able to set her consumption to the desired (unconstrained) level of 120, and she would have to set it to her endowment in period 0 equal to 110. When she arrives at period 1, her present discounted sum of incomes equals

$$121 + \frac{121}{1+r} + \frac{121}{(1+r)^2} + \frac{121}{(1+r)^3} + \dots = 121 \frac{1+r}{r},$$

and her new estimate of the permanent income equals

$$\frac{r}{1+r} 121 \frac{1+r}{r} = 121,$$

enabling her to set consumption to 121 in periods 1, 2, 3, and so on.